

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appl. No.	:	10/749,756	Confirmation No. 9097
Applicant	:	GROVER, Andrew S.	
Filed	:	December 30, 2003	
TC/A.U.	:	2188	
Examiner	:	WALTER, Craig E.	
Docket No.	:	42.P18169	
Customer No.	:	08791	

Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner For Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being submitted electronically via EFS Web on the date shown below.

<u>/Michelle L. Evans/</u>	<u>10/15/07</u>
Michelle L. Evans	Date

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Sir:

A telephonic interview with Examiner Craig E. Walter was held on September 12, 2007, wherein Applicant's representative Dermot G. Miller (Reg. Number 58,309) requested clarification as to the basis for a 35 U.S.C. §101 rejection of claims 22, 26 and 27. More particularly, Mr. Miller inquired as to whether the Office Action was suggesting a claim amendment which would address the concerns of Examiner Walter.

Examiner Walter suggested that Mr. Miller file after final arguments asserting utility for the claims in their present form for Examiner Walter to consider, whereupon Examiner Walter would determine if the rejection should either be removed or if an amendment might be necessary to overcome the rejection.

Respectfully submitted,
BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN, LLP

Date: October 15, 2007

/Dermot G. Miller/
Dermot G. Miller
Attorney for Applicants
Reg. No. 58,309

1279 Oakmead Parkway
Sunnyvale, CA 94085-4040
(503) 439-8778